Progress Update 1: Candidate Participation Instructor Evaluation

Complete the candidate participation evaluation for each candidate once you have received

their self-evaluation. Evaluate the participation of the candidate and use the comments

section to both explain your evaluation reasoning, discuss any discrepancies with the self-evaluation, and suggest next steps.

\* Acceptable items are the minimum standard requirements to pass the

participation portion of candidates final mark. A candidate who receives 2+

check marks in the No column will receive an “*unsatisfactory*” level.

Participation and course work are equally weighted.

Candidates that receive an “*unsatisfactory*” level at both Progress

Update 1 and 2, cannot successfully complete the course.

Acceptable Participation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The Candidate has: | Yes | No |
| posted to all required discussion boards. | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| responded to the minimum standard number of responses required in every discussion board. | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| met all deadlines based on course outline or those renegotiated with me prior to the deadline. |[ ] [ ]
| shown evidence that they are making connections and extensions to practice in all discussion posts. |[ ] [ ]
| always presented opinions and views in a professional andrespectful way. |[ ] [ ]
| always presented ideas in a purposeful way giving specificattention to intended audience. |[ ] [ ]

\* Exceptional chart consists of items that are not required to be successful in the course. These items give evidence of a higher standard of participation that goes beyond the minimum expectation for a pass grade. Candidates who wish to receive top marks in their additional qualification course should consider pursuing the exceptional expectations.

Exceptional Participation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The Candidate has: | Yes | No |
| posted to discussion boards to give others time to read and respond to their posts before the deadline. Ex. Posted multiple days before module deadlines. |[ ] [ ]
| made efforts to substantiate their posts with research or othercredible sources of information or data. Ex. Included references to research in discussion, in addition to resources mentioned in the course. |[ ] [ ]
| provided responses to their colleagues to encourage discussion and help further their understanding. Ex. Used initial post to generate questions or considerations that promote discussion with colleagues. |[ ] [ ]

[ ] Currently participating at an *Exceptional* level

in the course.

[ ] Currently participating at an *Acceptable* level

in the course.

[ ] Currently participating at an *Unsatisfactory* level

in the course.

Overall Participation

Comments: *\*use the comments section to both explain your evaluation reasoning, discuss any discrepancies with the self evaluation, and suggest next steps.*

Click or tap here to enter text.